Order, page 1

October 27, 2009. The Audit Committee submitted a brief styled as a motion for protective order. Another arm of Defendant Juniper, the Special Litigation Committee of Juniper's Board of Directors (the "Special Litigation Committee"), also filed a motion for protective order, noting that the same issue had arisen regarding its interview of Fisher. The Juniper Defendants and non-party deponent Brienne Fisher filed joinders in the Audit Committee's brief. Lead Plaintiff submitted a brief styled as a motion to compel, which addressed only the interview by the Audit Committee, as that was the only interview that had been raised with the court during the mid-deposition dispute. Lead Plaintiff did, however, include another former Juniper employee, Mary Cole, in its brief because the same issue regarding an Audit Committee interview had arisen during her deposition.

On November 3, 2009, Lead Plaintiff filed an unauthorized additional declaration. Neither Defendants nor the Audit Committee objected to the declaration, and instead the Audit Committee filed a response to the declaration.

On November 4, 2009, counsel for the parties and Fisher again appeared by telephone before Magistrate Judge Patricia V. Trumbull, joined by counsel for the Audit Committee and the Special Litigation Committee. Based on the briefs and arguments submitted,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion for protective order filed by the Special Litigation Committee is DENIED without prejudice to a properly noticed motion (along with a motion to shorten time, if necessary). The Special Litigation Committee's interview of Fisher was not the subject of the mid-deposition dispute presented to the court on October 15, 2009, and was not the subject of the briefing solicited by the court. If, after meeting and conferring with counsel for Lead Plaintiff, the Special Litigation Committee still finds it necessary to bring such a motion, Lead Plaintiff is entitled to notice and an adequate opportunity to oppose the motion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, no later than November 9, 2009, Lead Plaintiff and the Audit Committee may file simultaneous briefs and supporting declaration(s) regarding the issues of:

- 1) whether or not the substance of the Audit Committee's interview of Fisher was disclosed to any of Juniper's outside auditors;
- whether Juniper has any joint defense agreement with Fisher, and if so, whether Fisher holds a privilege to preclude Juniper from disclosing to the government or any other third party the substance of the communications at the Audit Committee interview (*see, e.g., John Morrell & Co.*, 913 F.2d 544, 555-56 (8th Cir.1990) ("It is fundamental that the 'joint defense privilege")

Order, page 3